FILED 3/27/2015 1:09:43 PM Donna Kay McKinney Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Maria Jackson

CAUSE NO. 2015CI05178

XENEX DISINFECTION SERVICES	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
LLC,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	450
	§	150 JUDICIAL DISTRICT
v.	§	
	§	
	§	
THE CLOROX COMPANY,	§	
Defendant.	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

Plaintiff XENEX DISINFECTION SERVICES LLC, for cause of action against Defendant THE CLOROX COMPANY alleges as follows:

I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Pursuant to Rule 190.1 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery in this case under Level 2.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff XENEX DISINFECTION SERVICES LLC ("Xenex") is a Texas limited liability company.
- 3. Defendant THE CLOROX COMPANY ("Clorox" or "Defendant") is a Delaware corporation with its corporate offices and headquarters located at 1221 Broadway, Oakland, California 94612, that may be served via its registered agent for service of process, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, Delaware 19801 and phone number (302) 658-7581.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, and the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court. Defendant is authorized to conduct business in Texas and markets its products for sale in Texas
- 5. Venue is proper in Bexar County pursuant to §15.002(1) and/or 15.002(4) of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Bexar County and/or Plaintiff's principal office was located in Bexar County at the time its cause of action accrued, and Defendant does not reside in Texas or maintain a principal office in Texas.

IV. FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ALL CLAIMS

- 6. Xenex is engaged in interstate commerce in the production and sale of proprietary ultraviolet hospital disinfection equipment. Defendant is a competitor engaged in interstate commerce in the manufacture and/or sale of hospital disinfection products. Defendant sells a UV device that competes with the Xenex device. Defendant's device uses bulbs that contain up to 20 mg of mercury per lamp as published on Defendant's website.
- 7. Xenex's website contains accurate statements of fact and/or opinion to the effect that (i) the EPA defines mercury as a toxic pollutant; (ii) the Xenex bulb contains no toxic mercury, but rather contains environmentally friendly xenon gas and does not require special handling or disposal; (iii) all UV competitors use bulbs that contain mercury which requires special handling incident to bulb breakage; (iv) depending upon the amount of mercury present in a mercury bulb, special disposal requirements may apply under federal and state regulations; and (v) the Xenex device is faster than mercury devices. Defendant contends that Xenex is making false or misleading descriptions of fact and/or false or misleading representations of fact

in connection with the commercial advertising or promotion of its product and/or that Xenex's marketing statements violate the Lanham Act pursuant to USCA § 1125, and/or violate other law. Xenex contends that the marketing statements at issue are accurate statements of fact or statements of opinion protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

V. CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 8. Xenex realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-7 above.
- 9. The dispute between Xenex and Defendant is damaging Xenex by causing uncertainty with respect to its rights with respect to the marketing and advertising of its product, and/or uncertainty about alleged claims for damages by Defendant relating to Xenex's future marketing and advertising.
- 10. A declaratory judgment from this Court pursuant to Chapter 37 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code would terminate the controversy giving rise to this action.
- 11. Xenex alleges that it is entitled to a judgment from this Court declaring that Xenex's website statements at issue in this suit are truthful and accurate statements of fact or statements of opinion that are not prohibited by the Lanham Act or otherwise prohibited by law, and/or that such statements are protected commercial speech pursuant to the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

VI. ATTORNEYS' FEES

12. Xenex is entitled to and requests an award of court costs and reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees against Defendant pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Chapter 37.

VII.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff asks that Defendant be cited to appear, and that the Court enter a judgment against Defendant and awarding Plaintiff (1) the declaratory relief requested above; (2) costs; (3) reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees; and (4) all other relief the court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted

J. BRUCE SCRAFFORD State Bar No. 17931100 bscrafford@abaustin.com

ARMBRUST & BROWN, PLLC 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 435-2300 – telephone (512) 435-2360 – facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

Cause Number (for	CLERK USE ONLYJ:		······································	roni (i on i	CLERK US	E 0/12/7-	
STYLED Xenex Dis	infection Services LLC v. The Clor	rox Compar	ny				
A civil case information sheet mu health case or when a post-judgm the time of filing.	e.g., John Smith v. All American Insura ust be completed and submitted w nent petition for modification or m	hen an orig	inal petition or applicat	tion is filed	to mitiate	a new civi	I, family law, probate, or mental
1. Contact information for perso	n completing case information s	lia i	Names of parties in c	ase:		Person	or entity completing sheet is:
Name:	Email:	Email		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s):		Attorney for Plaintift/Petitioner Pro Se Plaintift/Petitioner Title IV-D Agency	
J. Bruce Scrafford	bscrafford@abaustin	.com	Xenex Disinfection Services LLC		LTC	C Other:	
Address:	Telephone:						
100 Congress Ave., #1300	(512) 435-2300	Defendant(s)/Res					d Parties in Child Support Case:
City/State/Zip:	Fax:					Custodiai	
Austin, Texas 78701	(512) 435-2360		The Clorox Compar		e de contrada de la c	Non-Cust	odial Parent
Signature	State Bar No:		······································		**************************************	Presumed	er rennere en
	17931100					racsumeu	- F-44.11.52 .
			[Attach additional page as no	cessary to list a	ii parties)		
2. Indicate case type, or identify	Civil	case (sereci	anty 1):	T		Fam	ily Law
							Post-judgment Actions
Contract Debt/Contract Consumet/DTPA Debt/Contract	Injury or Damage Assault/Battery Construction Defamation	□ Emi Cor	Real Property Eminent Domain/ Condemnation Partition		Marriage Relation Annulment Declare Marriag Divarce		(uon-Title IV-D) Enforcement Modification—Custody Modification—Other
☐Fraud/Misrepresentation	Malpractice	Qui	et Title	ПW	ith Childre		Title IV-D
Other Debt/Contract:	Accounting Legal		Trespass to Try Title Other Property:		■ No Children		■ Enforcement/Modification ■ Paternity
Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited	☐ Medical ☐ Other Professional		et en en et maneste en al et telet III et 1880 A III en teletado l'Anne de constanción en				Reciprocals (UIFSA) Support Order
☐Other Foreclosure ☐Franchise	Liability:	Re	lated to Criminal				
Insurance Landlord/Tenant	Motor Vehicle Accident Premises	Matters Expunction		Other Family Law Enforce Foreign			Parent-Child Relationship Adoption/Adoption with
Non-Competition Partnership	Product Liability Asbestos/Silica	□ Jud	gment Nisi -Disclosure	Judgment Habeas Corpus			Termination Child Protection
Other Contract;	Other Product Liability	Seiz	cure/Porfeiture t of Habeas Corpus—	Name Change Protective Order Custody or V Removal of Disabilities of Minority Other: Parentage/Pat Termination of			Child Support Custody or Visitation
	Other Injury or Damage:	Pre-	-indictment er:				☐Gestational Parenting☐Grandparent Access
	ann aiddhaidh ann ach aithean dillideachd ille an beach airmean a canair a						Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental
Employment	Employment Other Civil Rights						
Discrimination							
Retaliation	■Administrative Appeal ■Antitrust/Unfair	[] Law	yer Discipline petuate Testimony			man at an at a American	Rights
Termination	☐Antitrust/Unfair Competition	ELaw Perg ESec	petuate Testimony prities/Stock			000 01 01 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	Rights
		ELaw Perg ESec	petuate Testimony prities/Stock tious Interference				Rights
Termination Workers' Compensation	☐Antitrust/Unfair Competition	Law Perr Sec	petuate Testimony prities/Stock tious Interference				Rights
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment:	■Antitrust/Unfair Competition □Code Violations □Foreign Judgment □Intellectual Property	Law Perr Sec Torn Oth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M				Rights
☐ Termination ☐ Workers' Compensation ☐ Other Employment:		Law Per Sec Ton Oth	petuate Testimony unites/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	<i>ental Hea</i> Guardiansl Guardiansl	hip—Adul		Rights
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax	■ Antitrust/Unfair Competition ■ Code Violations ■ Foreign Judgment ■ Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini ■ Dependent Administratio ■ Independent Administratio	Law Perr Sec: Oth	petuate Testimony unities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians Mental He	hip—Adul hip—Minc		Rights
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Day Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini	Law Perr Sec: Oth	petuate Testimony unities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians	hip—Adul hip—Minc		Rights
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings	Law Perp Sec. Tort Oth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians Mental He	hip—Adul hip—Minc aith)I	Rights Other Parent-Child;
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings If applicable (may select more the line Court Declar	Law Perg Sec. Ton Coth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians Mental He	hip—Adul hip—Minc aith Prejud Protec	gment Ren	Rights Other Parent-Child;
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Pax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings If applicable (may select more the	Stration ion Law Perp Sec Tori Coth Stration in ion han 1): ratory Judge shment lender	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians Mental He	hip—Adul hip—Mino alth Prejud Protec Receiv Seque:	gment Ren tive Order er stration	Rights Other Parent-Child:
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Polinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related Attachment	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings if applicable (may select more the proceedings) Garnis Interp	Law Perp Sec: Torn Oth Oth Istration In Item II: ratory Judge shment lender se armus	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardians Guardians Mental He	hip—Adul hip—Mino alth Prejud Protec Receiv Seque:	gment Ren tive Order /er stration orary Restri	Rights Other Parent-Child:
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related Attachment Bill of Review Certiorari Class Action 4. Indicate damages sought (do a	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Administratio Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Cother Estate Proceedings If applicable (may select more the Court Declar Garnis Interp Licens Manda	Law Perp Sec. Tort Oth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardiansl Guardiansl Mental He Other	hip—Adul hip—Minc alth Prejud Protec Receiv Seque: Tempo	gment Ren tive Order /er stration orary Restri	Rights Other Parent-Child:
Tax Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Tax Permination Other Tax Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related Attachment Bill of Review Certiorari Class Action Indicate damages sought (do in Less than \$100,000, including	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Administratio Dependent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings Title Court Garnis Interp Licens Manda The Post-junt select if it is a funily law case damages of any kind, penalties, coonctary relief	Law Perp Sec. Tort Oth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardiansl Guardiansl Mental He Other	hip—Adul hip—Minc alth Prejud Protec Receiv Seque: Tempo	gment Ren tive Order /er stration orary Restri	Rights Other Parent-Child:
Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment: Tax Tax Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax 3. Indicate procedure or remedy Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related Attachment Bill of Review Certiorari Class Action 4. Indicate damages sought (do a less than \$100,000, including	Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property Probate/Wills/Intestate Administratio Independent Administratio Independent Administratio Other Estate Proceedings if applicable (may select more the proceedings) if applicable (may select more the proceedings) if applicable (may select more the proceedings) interpolation Declar	Law Perp Sec. Tort Oth	petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference er: Probate & M	Guardiansl Guardiansl Mental He Other	hip—Adul hip—Minc alth Prejud Protec Receiv Seque: Tempo	gment Ren tive Order /er stration orary Restri	Rights Other Parent-Child:

Case 5:15-cv-00407-FB Document 1-1 Filed 05/18/15 Page 6 of 7 CITCML/SAC3

FILED 4/13/2015 11:46:52 AM Donna Kay McKinney Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Brenda Carrillo



Cause Number: 2015Cl05178 **District Court :** 150

Donna Kay M^cKinney Bexar County District Clerk

Request for Process

Style: Xenex Disinfection Services LLC Vs. The Clorox Company
Request the following process: (Please check all that Apply)
☐ Citation ☐ Notice ☐ Temporary Restraining Order ☐ Notice of Temporary Protective Order
Temporary Protective Order Precept with hearing Precept without a hearing Writ of Attachment
Writ of Habeas Corpus ☐ Writ of Garnishment ☐Writ of Sequestration ☐Capias ☐ Other:
1.
Name: The Clorox Company
Registered Agent/By Serving: The Corporation Trust Company
Address 1209 Orange Street (The Corporation Trust Center), Wilmington, New Castle County, Delaware 19801
Service Type: (Check One) Private Process Sheriff Publication (Check One) Commercial Recorder Hart Beat Courthouse Door Certified Mail Registered Mail Out of County Secretary of State Commissioner of Insurance 2.
Name:
Registered Agent/By Serving:
Address
Service Type: (Check One) Private Process Sheriff Publication (Check One) Commercial Recorder Hart Beat Courthouse Door Certified Mail Registered Mail Out of County Secretary of State Commissioner of Insurance
3.
Name:
Registered Agent/By Serving:
Address
Service Type: (Check One) Private Process Sheriff Publication (Check One) Commercial Recorder Hart Beat Courthouse Door Certified Mail Registered Mail Out of County Secretary of State Commissioner of Insurance 4.
Name:
Registered Agent/By Serving:
Address
Service Type: (Check One) Private Process Sheriff Publication (Check One) Commercial Recorder Hart Beat Courthouse Door Certified Mail Registered Mail Out of County Secretary of State Commissioner of Insurance
Title of Document/Pleading to be Attached to Process: Plaintiff's Original Petition
Name of Attorney/Pro se: J. Bruce Scrafford Bar Number: 17931100
Address: 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Phone Number: (512) 435-2300
Austin, Texas 78701
Attorney for Plaintiff X Defendant Other

****IF SERVICE IS NOT PICKED UP WITHIN 14 BUSINESS DAYS, SERVICE WILL BE DESTROYED****

國川 斯特 ((5) 阿尔克斯特 斯特里爾(川)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

150th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

2015CI05178 S00001

XENEX DISINFECTION SERVICES LLC

Plaintiff

vs.

THE CLOROX COMPANY

Defendant

CITATION

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

(Note: Attached document may contain additional litigants).

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

DIRECTED TO: THE CLOROX COMPANY

BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY 1209 ORANGE STREET
WILMINGTON DE 19801-1120

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you." Said petition was filed on the 27th day of March, 2015.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT ON THIS 14TH DAY OF APRIL A.D., 2015.

PETITION

J BRUCE SCRAFFORD
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF
100 CONGRESS AVE 1300
AUSTIN, TX 78701-4072



Donna Kay McKinney Bexar County District Clerk

101 W. Nueva, Suite 217 San Antonio, Texas 78205

By: Monica Hernandez, Deputy

Officer's Return

Came to hand on the 14th day of April 2015, A.D., at (NOT EXECUTED) by CERTIFIED MAIL, on the day by delivering toCitation, upon which I endorse the date of delivery,	of, A.D., 20, a true copy of this
copy of the PETITION Cause of failure to execute this Citation is	

Donna Kay M^cKinney

Clerk of the District Courts of Bexar County, Texas

By: Monica Hernandez, Deputy

FILE COPY (DK003)

COSCA,

DOCUMENT SCANNED AS FILED